



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,122	08/20/2003	Robert Mark Zerhusen	8266-1126 6524	
7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Group			LEE, JONG SUK	
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP 2700 First Indiana Plaza 135 North Pennsylvania Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
Indianapolis, IN	N 46204		DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{V}^{0}			
Advisory Action	10/644,122	ZERHUSEN ET AL	10			
navicely nectori	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendard filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendard from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 27-30 as per final rejection mailed	<u>10/8/2004</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 18-26 as per final rejection	on mailed 10/8/2004.		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	_			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	-(/\.				
10. Other:						
		PRIMARY E	XAMINER			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In amended claims 1, 7, 10, 11 and 18, the added limitatoin such as, "a patient support" or "adaptable to (cover) be coupled to a patient support (side rail)" raises the new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.